

Come Let Us Reason
Together: Learning To
Love God With All Thy
Mind

Nathan Kooienga & Bryan Ross

Arguments & Syllogisms

Come Let Us Reason Together: Learning
To Love God With All Thy Mind
7/20/25

Components of Arguments

- Having completed the lessons on terms and statements, we now begin the study of formal logic by considering logical arguments.
- For many an argument = a shouting match.
- Not so in logic.
- In formal logic, an argument is simply a set of statements, one of which appears to be implied or supported by the others.
- There are two types of statements in an argument.
 - Premise
 - Conclusion— "the point of terminus of the argument, the statement that appears to be implied or supported by the others [i.e., the premises]."
- The premises are those statements that support or imply the conclusion.

Components of Arguments

- An argument will never contain more than one conclusion. It can contain more than one premise.
- When you hear words like *therefore*, *thus*, *so*, and *consequently*, there is reason to believe that you are about to hear the conclusion of an argument.
- Common words that indicate premises are *since*, *because*, *for*, and *given* that.
- The following is an example of a formal argument, written in informal language.
 - The Bible is the Word of God, and the book of Jonah is definitely in the Bible. We must therefore conclude that the book of Jonah is the Word of God.
- There are two premises here. The first is that the Bible is the Word of God. The second is that the book of Jonah is in the Bible. The conclusion which follows is that the book of Jonah is the Word of God.

Examples of Arguments

- Consider an example of a similar form that does not follow from the premises.
 - The Bible is the Word of God, and the Book of Mormon is definitely not in the Bible.
 We must therefore conclude that the Book of Mormon is not the Word of God.
- What is wrong with this argument?
- Just because you agree with the conclusion (the Book of Mormon is not the Word of God) does not mean the argument is a good one.
- The question is not whether the conclusion is true, but whether it follows from the premises. In this case it does not.
- The premise contains no information about whether the Bible is the only word from God. If the premise had said the Bible was the sole Word of God, then the conclusion would have been warranted.

Examples of Arguments

- Can you spot the error in the following argument?
 - Given that the *Iliad* was written by Homer, and that the *Odyssey* is definitely not the *Iliad*, we must therefore conclude that Homer did not write the *Odyssey*.
- What is the problem?
- For the conclusion to follow, the premises would need to tell us that the *lliad* was the only thing that Homer ever wrote.

Practice

- Underline the conclusion in each of the following arguments.
 - All theology is a study of infinity, so all calculus problems are theology, because all calculus problems are a study of infinity.
 - Some pagans are idolaters, because no pagans are Christian, and no Christians are idolaters.
 - No street legal vehicles are stock cars. Thus, no racing car is street legal, since all stock cars are racing cars.
 - Some conclusions are not easily located statements, for all easily located statements are sentences at the end of arguments, and some sentences at the end of arguments are not conclusions.

- The syllogism is a particular form for organizing categorical statements into an argument.
- A categorial syllogism is made up of three categorical statements. The first two statements are the premises, and the last is the conclusion.
- What follows is an example of a syllogism.
 - All red plants are living things.
 - All roses are red plants.
 - Therefore, all roses are living things.
- If we abbreviate, our syllogism looks like this (note that the symbol ... means *therefore*):
 - All M are P
 - All S are M
 - ∴ All S are P

- All syllogism contain three terms. The terms in the above syllogism are S, P, and M. In order to structure an argument properly, it is necessary to have a good understanding of these terms.
- The terms are called, respectively:
 - The minor term (S)
 - The major term (P)
 - The middle term (M).
- The minor term is the subject term of the conclusion. The minor term above was *roses*, or *S*.
- The major term is the predicate term of the conclusion. In our syllogism, the major term was *living things*, or *P*.
- The middle term is the term that is in both premises but is not in the conclusion at all. It is called the middle term because it connects the premises together. In our example, the middle term was *red plants*, or *M*.

- As you might suspect, the major premise is the premise that contains the major term. Traditionally, the major premise is the first premise in the argument.
- The minor premise is the premise that contains the minor term. There is no middle premise, only a middle term.
- In our example above, the major premise was *All red plants are living things* (it contains the major term—*living things*).
- The minor premise was *All roses are red plants* (it contains the minor term—*roses*).
- The conclusion follows because the argument is valid. If the premises were true, the conclusion would necessarily have to be true. (Note the minor premise happens to be false, but this does not affect the validity of the syllogism.)

- Consider the following syllogism:
 - All ringed planets are gas giants, so no inner planets are ringed planets, since no inner planets are gas giants.
- This syllogism is not in the traditional order for standard categorical syllogisms. To put in into standard form, follow these steps.
- 1) Find the conclusion.
 - No inner planets are ringed planets. We know this because it starts with the word so and precedes the word since.
- 2) Find the major term.
 - The major term is the predicate of the conclusion (ringed planets).
- 3) Find the major premise.
 - The major premise is the premise containing the major term. Since the major term is ringed planets, the major premise is All ringed planets are gas giants.

- 4) Find the minor premise.
 - The minor premise contains the minor term. It is also the statement that is neither the major premise nor the conclusions.
 - In the example above, the minor premise is *No inner planets are gas giants*. To check, we see that this does contain the minor term, *inner planets*.
- 5) Write the syllogism out in standard order.
 - Major Premise—All ringed planets are gas giants.
 - Minor Premise—No inner planets are gas giants.
 - Conclusion—Therefore, no inner planets are ringed planets.

Practice

- Find the major, minor and middle terms for each syllogism.
- All theology is the study of infinity, so all calculus problems are theology, because all calculus problems are a study of infinity.
 - Major Term:
 - Minor Term:
 - Middle Term:
- Some pagans are idolaters, because no pagans are Christians, and no Christians are idolaters.
 - Major Term:
 - Minor Term:
 - Middle Term:

Practice

•	Rewrite the following arguments into standard order for categorial
	syllogisms.

from which it follows that some criminals are heroes of the faith.

 No street legal vehicles are stock cars. Thus, no racing car is street legal, since all stock cars are racing cars.

Syllogism Summary

- A syllogism is a deductive argument with two premises and three terms. The minor term is the subject of the conclusion, the major term is the predicate of the conclusion, the missile term is not the conclusion but is in both premises.
- The major premise is the premise containing the major term.
- The minor premise is the premise containing the minor term.
- The standard order for categorical syllogisms is: major premise, minor premise, conclusion.

Works Cited

Introductory Logic: The Fundamentals of Thinking Well. Moscow, ID: Canon Press, 2014.